

<b>Meeting:</b>	<b>Safer Bromley Partnership Strategic Group</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>28<sup>th</sup> June 2012</b>
<b>Subject:</b>	<b>Performance Review 2011/2012</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Pratheepan Jeyapragasam, Crime Analyst</b> pratheepan.jeyapragasam@bromley.gov.uk

## 1 SUMMARY

1.1 This report is presented in order to update the Safer Bromley Partnership on the performance achieved against the targets set in the last year Community Safety plan 2011/12. The report provides the latest performance monitoring data to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2012 (Quarter 4)

## 2 RECOMMENDATION

2.1 The Strategic Group is asked to

- Note the performance information contained within the report.
- Note issues experienced within the last year's performance monitoring regime and endorse the position at year end.

2.2 The targets that the performance monitoring regime was based on for 2011/2012 focused on delivery of four key performance issues.

*Performance Indicator 1* Reduction in number of violent crimes within Bromley

*Performance Indicator 2* Reduction in number of property crimes within Bromley

*Performance Indicator 3* Reduction in levels of recorded Anti Social Behaviour


*Performance Indicator 4* Increased confidence in the fact that Bromley is a safe place

### 3 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 This report provides an update of performance at the end of Quarter 4 in the delivery year 2011/2012. The performance picture across the range of Partnership activity continues to be healthy with the majority of targets being set for 2011/12.
- 3.2 In the year 2011/12, there were 21903 total number of offences reported in the Borough, this represented a reduction of 127(↓ 1%) offences compared with previous year 2010/11. There were 20,127 Anti Social Behaviour (ASB) calls/incidents reported to the Council and Police, this represented a reduction of 4147(↓ 17%) ASB calls/incidents compared with previous year 2010/11.
- 3.3 A summary of the “portfolio” of offences and Anti Social Behaviour that are included within these overarching targets are provided at Appendix 1. Reporting on individual crime types and detail of individual operations will only be made as a routine if achievement against set targets is not being made or, in some cases, where the measurable rates of individual offences or types of offending are significantly different from expected trends and predicted patterns etc. The management of these operational level issues will be dealt with outside of the Strategic Group at the combined Police/Partnership Tactical Tasking and Coordination Group chaired by the borough Superintendent.


#### Performance Review 2011/2012

- 3.4 Significant progress has been made in relation to reducing crime and disorder in Bromley. This is reflected not only in the previous year’s performance figures but also over the previous five years. The following charts provide an indication of the trends in crime in Bromley over the past five years.



## Performance Indicator 1- Reduction in Numbers of Violent Crimes Within Bromley


3.5 Offences related to Violent Crimes represent 20% (1,069) of the Total Notifiable Offences in the period of Quarter 4 this year.



3.6 During the January –March 2012 there were 1,069 Total Violent Offences reported to the Police, this represented a reduction of 76(↓ 7%) offences compared with the same period in the previous year. This includes a reduction of offences in Harassment.


## Performance Indicator 2- Reduction in Numbers of Property Crimes Within Bromley

3.7 Total offences to property crimes represent 67% of the Total Notifiable Offences in the period of Quarter 4 this year. This is an increase of 1% compared with previous quarter (Q3).




- 3.8 During the January to March 2012 there were 3,580 Total Property related Offences reported to the Police, this represented an increased of 33 (↑1%) offences compared with the same period in the previous year.
- 3.9 During this reporting period the borough experienced 1,043 Burglaries, 147 Robberies, 1,786 Theft & Handling and 604 offences of Criminal Damage. Compared with the same period in the previous year Burglaries were increased by 32% (252 offences). Robberies, Theft & Handling and Criminal Damages were decreased by 15% (25 offences), 6% (116 offences) and 11% (78 offences) respectively.

## Anti-Social Behaviour




	Jan- Mar 11	Apr- Jun 11	Jul – Sep 11	Oct- Dec 11	Jan- Mar 12
Noise & Nuisance	881	1290	1418	891	809
Graffiti	1269	945	1190	961	581
Fly Tipping Investigation	154	153	158	105	123
ASB to Council	115	181	111	116	134
ASB to Police	2584	2965	3223	2497	2276
<b>Total ABS</b>	<b>5003</b>	<b>5534</b>	<b>6100</b>	<b>4570</b>	<b>3923</b>


- 3.10 During the reporting period, the borough has experienced a reduction of 1,080 (22%) incidents of ASB reported when compared with the same period last year.




3.11 As can be seen from the figure above, during the reporting period, the borough has experienced a reduction of 72 incidents of Noise and Nuisance ASB reported when compared with the same period last year. Domestic Noise incidents were mainly contributed for this reduction.




3.12 As can be seen from the figure above, during the reporting period, the borough has experienced a reduction of 31 incidents of Fly Tipping ASB reported when compared with the same period last year.



3.13 As can be seen from the figure above, during the reporting period, the borough has experienced a reduction of 688 incidents of Graffiti ASB reported when compared with the same period last year. It is noted that there were some changes to recording for Graffiti and a quicker response time was introduced. In addition, there was an increase in proactive work based on community feedback. In 2012/2013 it is proposed that allocation of work be more focused on hot spot locations and intelligence relating to Graffiti and environmental cleansing.



3.14 During this reporting period a reduction of 308 incidents reported to police regarding ASB compared with the same period last year.



3.15 In January to March 2012 the borough experienced an increase of 19 incidents of ASB reported when compared with the same period last year. This is due in the main to several reasons One is an increase in the use of the Matrix reporting system as the training in the Matrix is rolled out to LBB Departments, Housing Associations and other bodies, in addition there is a greater Public awareness of the unit and members of the public contact the unit direct thirdly the unit is more proactive in problem solving complaints of ASB which in turn results in more referrals. This upward trend looks set to continue for the above reasons; in addition the MPS have adopted the Matrix system and will in turn be making more referrals to the Anti Social Behaviour Unit as the two systems become integrated.




## Increased confidence in the fact that Bromley is a safe place

**Q74AB: To what extent do you agree that the police and local council are dealing with the ASB and crime issues that matter in this area? Base: all respondents**

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: -1%

Difference from same quarter in 2010/11 [Borough]: -1%

% agree (strongly + tend to)	Bromley		MPS
	%	Base	Confidence interval
Q1-Q4 (Apr10-Mar11)	56%	640	±3.85%
Q2-Q1 (Jul10-Jun11)	60%	581	±3.98%
Q3-Q2 (Oct10-Sep11)	61%	521	±4.19%
Q4-Q3 (Jan11-Dec11)	56%	463	±4.52%
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	55%	403	±4.86%
FY 07/08	NA	0	NA
FY 08/09	53%	640	±3.87%
FY 09/10	48%	640	±3.87%
FY 10/11	56%	640	±3.85%
FY 11/12	55%	403	±4.86%



3.16 As shown above, there was a slight decrease (1%) between Q4 last year and Q4 previous year in relation to the confidence levels.




## Promoting public safety: Proxy SPI10b Perceptions of ASB

**Q10A-G [composite]: Perceptions of ASB** Base: all respondents, excluding those indicating 'don't know' to any of the seven composite factors

Difference from previous quarter [Borough]: -3%

Difference from same quarter in 2010/11 [Borough]: -5%

% High level of worry	Bromley			NPS %
	%	Base	Confidence Interval	
Q1-Q4 (Apr10-Mar11)	8%	551	±2.27%	12%
Q2-Q1 (Jul10-Jun11)	8%	525	±2.32%	11%
Q3-Q2 (Oct10-Sep11)	8%	479	±2.43%	11%
Q4-Q3 (Jan11-Dec11)	6%	429	±2.25%	10%
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	3%	366	±1.82%	9%
FY 07/08	15%	489	±3.15%	18%
FY 08/09	19%	505	±3.44%	23%
FY 09/10	5%	488	±2.02%	13%
FY 10/11	8%	551	±2.29%	12%
FY 11/12	3%	366	±1.82%	9%




## Q15: To what extent are you worried about ASB in the area?

Base: all respondents, excluding 'don't know'

Difference from previous quarter  
[Borough]: ±0%

Difference from same quarter in 2010/11  
[Borough]: -4%

% worried (very/fairly)	Bromley			MPS
	%	Base	Confidence interval	%
Q1-Q4 (Apr10-Mar11)	24%	638	±3.31%	25%
Q2-Q1 (Jul10-Jun11)	24%	581	±3.47%	26%
Q3-Q2 (Oct10-Sep11)	22%	520	±3.56%	25%
Q4-Q3 (Jan11-Dec11)	20%	462	±3.65%	25%
Q1-Q4 (Apr11-Mar12)	20%	402	±3.92%	26%
FY 07/08	45%	639	±3.86%	40%
FY 08/09	31%	634	±3.59%	39%
FY 09/10	27%	640	±3.43%	25%
FY 10/11	24%	638	±3.32%	25%
FY 11/12	20%	402	±3.92%	26%



## APPENDIX 1

### Performance Indicator Portfolios:

<b>Violence Portfolio</b>	
<b>Offences</b>	<b>Supporting Measures</b>
Violence with Injury	Domestic Violence
Common Assault	Domestic Violence Sanction Detection Rate
Harassment	Domestic Violence Arrest Rate
Threats/ Conspiracy to Kill	Serious Youth Violence
Blackmail	Knife Enabled Crime
Kidnapping/ False Imprisonment	Knife Enabled Crime Sanctioned Det. Rate
	Gun Crime
	Gun Crime Sanctioned Det. Rate
	Most Serious Violence
	Most Serious Violence Sanctioned Det. Rate

<b>Property Portfolio</b>
<b>Offences:</b>
Personal Robbery
Commercial Robbery
Total Robbery
Residential Burglary
Non-Residential Burglary
Theft/Taking Motor Vehicles
Theft From Motor Vehicles
Theft From Shops
Theft From Person
Theft of Pedal Cycles
Other Theft
Criminal Damage

<b>Anti Social Behaviour Portfolio</b>
<b>ASB:</b>
Noise & Nuisance
ASB to Council
Fly Tipping
ASB to Police
Graffiti